

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,231	08/03/2001	Richard L. Martin	11181/3	7571
7590 05/12/2004			EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			TUCKER, PHILIP C	
N B C TOWER, SUITE 3600 455 N. CITYFRONT PLAZA DR.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60610			1712	-

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A delicate N	Applies -4(-)			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/922,231	MARTIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI WAY DATE OU	Philip C Tucker	1712			
The MAILING DATE of this commit Period for Reply	unication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a remmunication. y (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt in statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON pply will, by statute, cause the application to become AB as after the mailing date of this communication, even if the statute of the stat	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <i>17 February 20<u>04</u>.</i>				
2a) This action is FINAL .					
3) Since this application is in condition					
closed in accordance with the practice	ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the	e application.				
	s/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) <u>23-31,33,34 and 36</u> is/ard					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,21,22,32 and 35</u> is/a	are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>12-20</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	re: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to l	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any ob	pjection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi	ing the correction is required if the drawing((s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claimal All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).			
 Certified copies of the priori 	ty documents have been received.				
Certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been received in A	pplication No			
Copies of the certified copie	es of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the Internat	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office act	tion for a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office	The second secon				

Application/Control Number: 09/922,231

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 12 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The tridecyl group of claim 12 is not a branched alcohol group as claimed in parent claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12, 21, 22, 32 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin (4339349).

Martin teaches a corrosion inhibitor composition, which can comprise a combination of a phosphate ester and a quaternary amine (column 7, line 55 – column 9, line 25; and Table I, inhibitor 5). The composition may be in water, and can contain other corrosion inhibitors as in current claims 21 and 22 (see general teaching and claim 1). A specific example of the formation of a branched polyalkoxylated phosphate ester is disclosed in example 7 of Martin, and is generally taught at column 8, lines 17-30. Martin differs from the present invention in that a specific example of the use of a

Application/Control Number: 09/922,231

Art Unit: 1712

combination of a nonaryl containing quaternary ammonium compound and branched polyalkoxylated phosphate ester is not disclosed. However, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a combination of nonaryl containing quaternary ammonium compound and branched polyalkoxylated phosphate ester within the scope of the teachings of Martin, given the teaching of Martin that such combination is useful for corrosion inhibition. The use of an ethoxylated tridecyl alcohol of the phosphate ester of claim 12, would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, in view of the ethoxylated dodecyl and tetradecyl alcohols taught by Martin, since homologues with such similar structures would be expected to have similar utility and properties.

- 4. Claims 13-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 23-31, 33, 34 and 36 are allowable over the art of record.
- 6. Applicant's arguments have been noted. As noted above, contrary to applicant's arguments, Martin does teach a branched polyalkoxylated phosphate ester in example
- 7. A new rejection is thus presented in this action.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,231

Art Unit: 1712

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-1095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip C Tucker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

PCT-3009